## **Ruthenium Complex-catalysed Highly Selective Codimerisation of Acetylenes and Al kenes**

## **Take-aki Mitsudo," Shi-Wei Zhang, Masaki Nagao and Yoshihisa Watanabe"**

*Department of Hydrocarbon Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, 606, Japan* 

2,4-Dienes are prepared in high yields with high regioselectivity by the codimerisation of acetylenes and alkenes in the presence of a catalytic amount of Ru(cod)(cot) at 80 "C; cod = cycloocta-I ,5-diene, cot = **cycloocta-l,3,5,-triene.** 

Recently, ruthenium complex-catalysed carbon-carbon bond forming reactions have been developed.1 We have reported the efficient  $[2 + 2]$  cross-cycloaddition of acetylenes and norbornene catalysed by  $RuH<sub>2</sub>(PBu<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>$  or  $Ru(cod)(cot)$ -PBu<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup> and the linear codimerisation of terminal acetylenes and 1,3-dienes catalysed by trialkylphosphine ruthenium complexes.3 We now report the selective linear codimerisation in the presence of a catalyst of acetylenes and alkenes with an electron-attracting group to give 2,4-dienes in high yields (Scheme 1). **A** representative procedure is as follows; to a mixture of Ru(cod)(cot) (0.2 mmol) and diphenylacetylene *(5*  mmol) under argon was added pyridine (20 mmol) and methyl acrylate (10 mmol). The mixture was stirred at 80 "C for 10 h. Kugelrohr distillation afforded 1.7 g (86%) of methyl **(2E,42)-4,S-diphenylpenta-2,4-dienoate.** This stereochemistry of the alkene was determined by means of nuclear Overhauser effect experiments for  $H^b$  on irradiating  $H^c$  (for the position of the proton, see Scheme 1).

Representative results are shown in Table 1. The reaction of diphenylacetylene with ethyl acrylate gave ethyl (2E,42)-4,5 diphenylpenta-2,4-dienoate in 93% yield. Ru(cod)(cot) is the best catalyst in this reaction.  $Ru_{3}(CO)_{12}$  and  $RuCl_{3}^{3}H_{2}O$  in pyridine showed no catalytic activity. The best yield was obtained in pyridine, while in triethylamine, toluene and tri-n-butylamine as solvent, the yields were low.

In the reaction of diphenylacetylene with  $N$ ,  $N$ -dimethyl-

## **J. CHEM. SOC., CHEM. COMMUN.,** 1991

Table 1 Ru(cod)(cot)-catalysed codimerisation of acetylenes and alkenes<sup>a</sup>



*a* Alkene (10 mmol), acetylene (5 mmol); Ru(cod)(cot) (cod = cycloocta-1,5-diene; cot = cycloocta-1,3,5-triene; 0.2 mmol), 80 °C under Ar. A: pyridine (20 mmol); B: Alkene (10-20 mmol), without solvent. **C** Determined by GLC.





acrylamide, however, pyridine was not necessary. This reaction proceeded without solvent; in pyridine the yield was very low (7%). Methylphenylacetylene reacted with methyl acrylate to give the corresponding product in poor yield *(7%),*  but it reacted with *N*, *N*-dimethylacrylamide to afford (2E,4Z)-N, **N-dimethyl-4-methyl-5-phenylpenta-2,4-dien**amide in 87% yield with complete regioselectivity. Diethylacetylene did not react with methyl acrylate, while it reacted with N,N-dimethylacrylamide to give N,N-dimethyl- $(2E,4E)$ -4-ethylhepta-2,4-dienamide in 54% yield.

These results indicate that the  $Ru(cod)(cot)$ -catalysed codimerisation of acetylenes and alkenes is strongly affected by the solvent, ligand and the substituents on the acetylenes or alkenes.

Taking into account the mechanism of the  $[2 + 2]$ cycloaddition of norbornenes and dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate, which is rationalised to proceed *via* a ruthenacyclopentene complex,<sup>2</sup> one of the plausible mechanisms is as follows.

Coordination of an acetylene and an alkene to **a** zero-valent ruthenium complex may give a ruthenacyclopentene complex **1** followed by the  $\beta$ -elimination of the  $\beta$ -hydrogen H<sup>1</sup> or H<sup>2</sup> **2** and successive reductive elimination would give the product (Scheme  $2$ ). $\dagger$ 

Although several linear cooligomerisations of alkenes and acetylenes are known,<sup>4</sup> to our knowledge, this is the first example of an efficient catalytic linear codimerisation of acetylenes and alkenes to produce conjugated dienes.

This work was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. *02650625* from the Japanese Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

*Received, 2nd January 1991; Corn. 1100016K* 

## **References**

- 1 T. Mitsudo, Y. Hori, Y. Yamakawa and **Y.** Watanabe, J. *Org. Chem.,* 1987, **52,** 2230; T. Kondo, M. Akazome, **Y.** Tsuji and Y. Watanabe, J. *Org. Chem.,* 1990, *55,* 1286; B. M. Trost, G. Dyker and R. J. Kulawiec, J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1990,112,7809; T. Naota, H. Taki, M. Mizuno and **S.-I.** Murahashi, J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1989, 111,5954.
- 2 T. Mitsudo, K. Kokuryo, T. Shinsugi, **Y.** Nakagawa, **Y.** Watanabe and **Y.** Takegami, J. *Org. Chem.,* 1979,44,4492.
- 3 T. Mitsudo, Y. Nakagawa, K. Watanabe, Y. Hori, H. Misawa, **H.**  Watanabe and Y. Watanabe, J. *Org. Chem.,* 1985, **50, 565.**
- 4 *E.g.,* P. Mushak and M. A. Battiste, J. *Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.,* 1969, 1146.

 $\dagger$  The following two mechanisms cannot be ruled out completely. (i) Successive insertion of acetylene and alkene into a ruthenium-hydride bond followed by  $\beta$ -elimination. (ii) Oxidative addition of the sp<sup>2</sup> C-H bond of the alkene to ruthenium(0) complex followed by the insertion of acetylene and reductive elimination.